

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/978,386	10/16/2001	James F. Zucherman	KLYC-01055US1	KLYC-01055US1 4255	
23910 7.	590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER		
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER			BUI, VY Q		
SUITE 400	RCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			3731		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/06/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applies	ation No.	Applicant(s)		1 1 			
Office Action Summary					^	۱۱۱۱			
		09/978		ZUCHERMAN ET	AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examir		Art Unit		V			
		Vy Q. B		3731	\ <u></u>				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	aress \				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. 0) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tinstatutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 12 April 2004							
· · · · ·		2b) This action is							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	•							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 42-46 and 54-63 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) 42-46 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 54-63 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from o	consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to be compared to the court of declaration is objected to the court of the co	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(so the correction is required.	s) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) <u>□</u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docu anal Bureau (PCT f	peen received. Deen received in Applicat Deen receivenents have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage	•			
Attachmen			"□····-	· (DTO 442)					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	<u></u>			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 54-55, 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ZUCHERMAN et al. (5,836,948).

As to claims 54-55, 58-59, ZUCHERMAN-'948 (Fig. 40; col. 9, lines 36-60) discloses hollow dilator/cannula 258 with handle portion/proximal portion 268, elongated body/mid portion of dilator/cannula 258, conical tapered curved tip 266 and a series of dilators 258, with each subsequent dilator/cannula being slightly bigger than a preceding dilator so that the subsequent larger dilator can be placed over the preceding smaller dilator and the smaller dilator can be withdrawn from the center of the subsequent larger dilator. Although Fig. 40 only showsone hollow dilator/cannula 258 and does not show another subsequent larger dilator, however, subsequent larger dilator must have a curved tip so that the subsequent larger dilator can be placed over the preceding smaller dilator (col. 9, lines 51-60), because if the tip of the subsequent larger dilator is straight, one can not place the subsequent larger dilator over the curved tip of the preceding smaller dilator in a process as disclosed by ZUCHERMAN. Alternatively, in view of ZUCHERMAN-'948, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a

Application/Control Number: 09/978,386

Art Unit: 3731

series of dilators having the 2nd diameter of a preceding dilator being the same as the 1st diameter of a subsequent dilator so as one can make an opening gradually enlarged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 56-57 and 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ZUCHERMAN et al. (5,836,948).

As to claims 56-57 and 60-63, ZUCHERMAN-'948 discloses inherently a series of dilators as claimed. ZUCHERMAN-'948 does not disclose color codes or measuring marks for indication of a range of diameters of a dilator or a width of a dilated opening. However, color-coding/marking a medical device to indicate a range of variables/values applicable for the device is well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a color code for a ZUCHERMAN-'948 device so that a user of a dilator can quickly recognize and get the suitable dilator applicable for a specific range of application during a medical operation.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 4/12/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome ZUCHERMAN-'948 reference as presented in the above rejection.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

07/02/2004

Vy Q. Bui Primary Examiner